



1777 T Street NW, Washington DC 20009-7125 | T 800.837.3792 202.238.9088 | F 202.238.9003 | [legal@americanhumanist.org](mailto:legal@americanhumanist.org) | [www.humanistlegalcenter.org](http://www.humanistlegalcenter.org)

September 16, 2015

*Via Email*

Christopher J. Steinhauser – Superintendent  
Long Beach Unified School District  
1515 Hughes Way  
Long Beach, CA 90810

[cstein@lbschools.net](mailto:cstein@lbschools.net)

Shawn Abbate and Mario Jiminez – Co-Principals  
Lakewood High School  
4400 Briercrest Avenue  
Lakewood, CA 90713

Via Fax: 562-421-9616

RE: Constitutional violation

Dear Dr. Steinhauser, Ms. Abbate, and Mr. Jiminez,

The parent of a student from Lakewood High School has contacted our office to request assistance with regard to a serious constitutional violation that is occurring under the authority of your school and school district. The student, currently a senior, reports that she has been mistreated by her teacher simply because she chooses to exercise her right to opt out of the Pledge of Allegiance. This mistreatment is being reinforced by the school administration, which has failed to address the matter despite concerns raised by the student’s father. As you should know, the right of students to opt out of Pledge participation was settled long ago by the United States Supreme Court in *West Virginia State Bd. of Educ. v. Barnette*, 319 U.S. 624 (1943). Consequently, any actions by your school or its agents infringing upon that right would be actionable as a serious constitutional violation.

The student in question, for personal reasons, does not wish to participate in the Pledge exercise in any manner, and in fact has not been taking part in the exercise for several years. However, on Monday, September 14, after she remained seated during the recitation, she was confronted by her teacher, Mr. Gjonovich, who wanted to know why she was opting out. Even though she was under no obligation to explain her decision, the student respectfully told the teacher that she did not believe in pledging and was exercising her right not to do so. Nevertheless, the teacher told the student that her decision was “disrespectful” and told her that she must stand in the future. Learning about this later, the student’s father went to the school on

Tuesday expecting to have this important infringement of his daughter's rights addressed, but was instead stonewalled. After waiting 45 minutes, he was told by an assistant principal, Mr. Williams, that Mr. Gjonovich would not be available to discuss the matter. Mr. Williams spoke with the father for a short time, but did not acknowledge the student's rights or offer any assurance that the mistreatment would stop. When the parent asked to speak to a principal, he was told that he could not do so. There is no indication here that anyone in the chain of command is remotely aware that this child's rights are being violated, much less concerned about remedying the problem.

Based on the above, we demand the following assurances: (1) That students and teachers in your school district be advised that students may stay seated for any Pledge exercise at the school and that any written policy containing a standing requirement be rescinded; (2) That teachers be instructed that under no circumstances should they attempt to persuade students to refrain from exercising the right to nonparticipation, question students as to the reason for nonparticipation, or characterize opting out as misconduct or otherwise wrongful; and (3) That no disciplinary or other retaliatory measures of any kind will be directed toward any student for nonparticipation in the Pledge exercise.

The American Humanist Association (AHA) is a national nonprofit organization with over 480,000 supporters and members across the country, including many in California. The mission of AHA's legal center is to protect one of the most fundamental principles of our democracy: the First Amendment rights to free speech and religious liberty. Our legal center includes a network of cooperating attorneys from around the country, including California, and we have litigated constitutional cases in state and federal courts from coast to coast, including California.

Since the Supreme Court's ruling in *Barnette*, federal courts have irrefutably recognized the First Amendment right of students to remain silent and seated during the Pledge.<sup>1</sup> That "students have a constitutional right to remain seated during the Pledge is well established." *Frazier v. Winn*, 535 F.3d 1279, 1282 (11th Cir. 2008) (per curiam), *cert. denied*, 558 U.S. 818 (2009) (finding that all public school students have the First Amendment right not to stand during the Pledge). *See also Holloman ex rel. Holloman v. Harland*, 370 F.3d 1252, 1274, 1278-79 (11th Cir. 2004) (noting that the right to remain seated and silent during the Pledge is "clearly established"); *Walker-Serrano ex rel. Walker v. Leonard*, 325 F.3d 412, 417 (3d Cir. 2003) ("For over fifty years, the law has protected elementary students' rights to refrain from reciting the pledge of allegiance to our flag. Punishing a child for non-disruptively expressing her opposition to recitation of the pledge would seem to be as offensive to the First Amendment as requiring its oration.") (citation omitted); *Rabideau v. Beekmantown Cent. Sch. Dist.*, 89 F. Supp. 2d 263, 267 (N.D.N.Y. 2000) ("It is well established that a school may not require its students to stand for or recite the Pledge of Allegiance or punish any student for his/her failure to do so.") (citing *Barnette*, 319 U.S. 624; *Russo v. Cent. Sch. Dist. No. 1*, 469 F.2d 623 (2d Cir. 1972)).

---

<sup>1</sup> In *Barnette*, the Supreme Court held that public school officials are forbidden under the First Amendment from compelling students to salute the flag or recite the Pledge. 319 U.S. at 642. Notably, the Court was aware that the government might demand other "gestures of acceptance or respect: . . . a bowed or bared head, a bended knee," *id.* at 632, and reiterated that the government may not compel students to affirm their loyalty "by word or *act*." *Id.* at 642 (emphasis added).

Indeed, the federal appellate courts have been unanimous in concluding that public school officials are prohibited from compelling students to stand during the Pledge. *See, e.g., Frazier*, 535 F.3d at 1282; *Holloman*, 370 at 1274-79; *Circle Sch. v. Pappert*, 381 F.3d 172, 178 (3d Cir. 2004); *Walker*, 325 F.3d at 417; *Lipp v. Morris*, 579 F.2d 834, 836 (3d Cir. 1978) (ruling that a state statute requiring students to stand during the Pledge was an unconstitutional compulsion of expression); *Goetz v. Ansell*, 477 F.2d 636, 637-38 (2d Cir. 1973) (holding that a student has the right to remain quietly seated during the Pledge and cannot be compelled to leave the room if he chooses not to stand); *Banks v. Bd. of Public Instruction*, 314 F. Supp. 285, 294-96 (S.D. Fla. 1970), *aff'd*, 450 F.2d 1103 (5th Cir. 1971) (concluding that a rule requiring students to stand during the Pledge was unconstitutional). *See also Newdow v. United States Cong.*, 328 F.3d 466, 489 (9th Cir. 2002) (noting that schools may not “coerce impressionable young schoolchildren to recite [the Pledge], or even to stand mute while it is being recited by their classmates.”).

Federal district courts and state courts have also consistently ruled that students have a constitutional right to remain silent and seated during the Pledge. *See Rabideau*, 89 F. Supp. 2d at 267; *Frain v. Baron*, 307 F.Supp. 27, 33-34 (E.D.N.Y. 1969) (enjoining school from “excluding [students] from their classrooms during the Pledge of Allegiance, or from treating any student who refuses for reasons of conscience to participate in the Pledge in any different way from those who participate.”); *State v. Lundquist*, 262 Md. 534, 554-55 (Md. 1971) (state statute requiring teachers and students to salute the flag during the Pledge violated the First Amendment freedom of speech clause). *Cf. Sheldon v. Fannin*, 221 F. Supp. 766, 768 (D. Ariz. 1963) (enjoining elementary school from suspending Jehovah’s Witness students solely because they silently refused to stand for the national anthem).

The student here does not deserve to be mistreated merely because she chooses to exercise her constitutional rights. Indeed, instead of rote recitation, she has given thoughtful consideration of the underlying religious and political issues raised by the exercise, and this should, if anything, earn her the respect of teachers. In *Tinker v. Des Moines Indep. Cmty. Sch. Dist.*, 393 U.S. 503, 506-07 (1969), the Supreme Court famously declared: “It can hardly be argued that either students or teachers shed their constitutional rights to freedom of speech or expression at the schoolhouse gate. This has been the unmistakable holding of this Court for almost 50 years.” (citing *Barnette*, among other cases)

In *Banks*, the court applied *Tinker* to the act of refusing to stand for the Pledge and held: “The conduct of Andrew Banks in refusing to stand during the pledge ceremony constituted an expression of his religious beliefs and political opinions. His refusal to stand was no less a form of expression than the wearing of the black armband was to Mary Beth Tinker. He was exercising a right ‘akin to pure speech.’” 314 F. Supp at 295. Importantly, not only do students have the right to silently sit during the Pledge, but they also have a right to affirmatively protest the Pledge exercise. *See Holloman*, 370 F.3d at 1273-74 (raising fist during Pledge was protected speech even if fellow classmates found it objectionable and distracting). Referring to *Banks*, the Eleventh Circuit pointed out in *Holloman* that “its ruling was not based on Banks's First Amendment right to remain silent, but his First Amendment right to affirmatively express himself.” 370 F.3d at 1273-74 (emphasis added).

We are most hopeful that you will recognize the concerns raised by this letter and address them properly. Please respond within seven (7) days. We thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,  
Monica Miller, Esq.